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Introduction

Characterization of on- and off-target CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing events requires further investigation even as the in vivo application of this technology has become commonplace. Here, we investigate CRISPR gene editing using both Sanger and next-generation sequencing (NGS) methods to evaluate on-target and off-target effects (OTEs). The scope of OTEs needs to be easily queried by the investigator, and methods to minimize their occurrence implemented. A custom enrichment panel for NGS was designed using xGen® Lockdown® Probes (IDT) to capture on-target as well as potential sgRNA off-target sites.

Methods

HEK293 cells stably expressing S. pyogenes Cas9 were transfected (48 hrs) with either gBlocks® Gene Fragments (dsDNA) containing a U6 promoter-driven sgRNA targeting HPRT2 or a ssRNA in vitro transcription (IVT) product of the chimeric HPRT2 ssRNA. Genomic DNA was purified and used for NGS library prep.

CLONING/SANGER SEQUENCING—PCR products from genomic DNA amplification were blunt cloned into One Shot TOP10 Chemically Competent Cells (Invitrogen). Primers flanking the insert were used to sequence clones from the HPRT1 target region to interrogate on-target editing events using Sanger BigDye Terminator sequencing (ABI).

NEXT-GENERATION SEQUENCING—Libraries were prepared from transfected HEK293 cells (as well as various negative controls), enriched by hybridization/capture using the HPRT-OTE xGen® Lockdown® panel. Sequencing was performed as an 8-plex on an Illumina miSEQ instrument.

Primer modifications: reducing NHEJ insertions

The CRISPR gBlocks® fragment expression cassettes (U6-driven sgRNA) are PCR amplified to increase mass prior to transfection. In order to reduce enzymatic processing and/or recombination events near the ends of the amplicons (hypothesized to contribute to insertion of transfected DNA into the breakpoint via NHEJ), the 5’-ends of the primers were protected by either phosphorothioate linkage (PS) modification or were 5’-end blocked using a 5’-C3 spacer. Modified gBlocks®-derived PCR products were transfected and Sanger sequencing performed as before. Compared to the unmodified gBlocks® fragments, the incidence of large insertions was reduced.

NGS: On-Target CRISPR Activity

NGS results at the HPRT1 target site agreed with the Sanger data in the top panel. The large insertions created by repair of CRISPR/Cas9 cleavage included fragments of the transfected dsDNA sgRNA expression cassette, as confirmed by both sequencing methods.

Initially, NGS data analysis was complicated by the nature of the CRISPR gene editing products, which comprise insertion/deletion events ranging from a single nucleotide to several hundred bases. The Sanger results directed our analysis of the NGS data, and our bioinformatics pipeline was modified to detect these kinds of gene editing events without implementing a computationally heavy split-read aligner.

Future Directions

The data analysis tools to detect on-target gene editing events are valuable as we move forward with an off-target panel of xGen® Lockdown® Probes. In particular, the xGen® panel will enrich for potential CRISPR off-target sites, and the improved bioinformatics pipeline can detect reads that contain partial match sequence to the reference genome as well as the characteristic large insertion/deletion events. This approach comprises a rapid method for using NGS to survey off-target CRISPR gene editing events throughout the genome.
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